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This work is devoted to the study of transient growth of perturbations in the Taylor–Couette problem due to linear mechanisms. The study is carried out for a particular small gap case and is mostly focused on the linearly stable regime of counter-rotation. The exploration covers a wide range of inner and outer angular speeds as well as axial and azimuthal modes. Significant transient growth is found in the regime of stable counter-rotation. The numerical results are in agreement with former analyses based on energy methods. Similarities with transient growth mechanisms in plane Couette flow and in Hagen–Poiseuille flow are discussed. This is reflected in the modulation of the basic circular Couette flow by the presence of azimuthal streaks as a result of the nonmodal growth of initial axisymmetric perturbations. This study might shed some light on the subcritical transition to turbulence which is found experimentally in Taylor–Couette flow when the cylinders rotate in opposite directions. © 2002 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1464851]

I. INTRODUCTION

Taylor–Couette flow of a viscous fluid confined between independently rotating coaxial cylinders has been one of the most studied problems of fluid dynamics in the last 80 years. Starting with the celebrated work of Taylor,\textsuperscript{1} the Taylor–Couette problem has been an experimental, theoretical, and numerical benchmark problem for bifurcation theory and hydrodynamic stability. This flow may become turbulent by means of many different mechanisms which usually involve successive steady or unsteady linear instabilities. The flow may exhibit many different steady, time periodic, or almost periodic patterns before an eventual transition to chaotic regimes.\textsuperscript{2,3} We refer the reader to standard monographs\textsuperscript{4,5} for details. Below the critical values predicted by linear stability theory, azimuthal Couette flow is stable with respect to infinitesimal perturbations. Nevertheless, experiments formerly carried out by Coles and Van Atta in the 1960s\textsuperscript{6,7} and later on by Hegseth \textit{et al.} in the 1980s\textsuperscript{8} reported striking new phenomena of sudden transition to spiral turbulence in the region where the linear theory predicted stability of the basic azimuthal Couette flow. A comprehensive experimental analysis of the spiral turbulence has been recently provided by Prigent and Dauchot\textsuperscript{9} and by Prigent,\textsuperscript{10} where remarkable similarities of the spiral turbulent patterns between phase Couette and narrow gap Taylor–Couette flow have been reported.

Subcritical transition to spiral turbulence, which Coles termed catastrophic transition, cannot be explained by means of eigenvalue analysis of the linearized Navier–Stokes operator. Instead, this subcritical transition may be associated with the considerable amplification or transient growth that even very small amplitude perturbations may suffer due to the nonnormality of the linearized operator, i.e., nonorthogonality of its eigenvectors.\textsuperscript{11} It has long been known that nonnormality of linearized operators of pipe,\textsuperscript{12} plane Poiseuille,\textsuperscript{13} or plane Couette flows\textsuperscript{14} is responsible for the considerable nonmodal linear growth of small perturbations. Plane Couette or pipe Poiseuille flows are linearly stable for all Reynolds numbers\textsuperscript{15,16} although they actually become turbulent due to finite amplitude perturbations. The question regarding the role of non-normality in subcritical transition of shear flows has generated many controversies during the past decade\textsuperscript{17,18} and the first attempt at clarification was provided by Reddy and Henningson.\textsuperscript{19} A comprehensive theoretical study of nonmodal analysis for this type of flow can be found in the recently published monograph by Schmid and Henningson.\textsuperscript{20}

A simultaneous nonmodal analysis of the linearized Taylor–Couette problem has been recently provided by Hristova \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{21} for axisymmetric perturbations with fixed axial periodicity. Although the non-normality of the Taylor–Couette problem was first pointed out by Gebhardt and Grossmann,\textsuperscript{22} this feature has been studied by Hristova \textit{et al.} by means of the computation of the pseudospectra\textsuperscript{23} of the linear operator. Their exploration was carried out for different values of the radius ratio of the cylinders and for a fixed angular speed ratio so that the average angular speed eliminates the Coriolis effect in the narrow-gap limit. Their purpose was to recover the plane Couette behavior as a narrow gap limit of the Taylor–Couette problem.

The experiments of Coles and Van Atta were carried out with a narrow gap apparatus and subcritical transition to turbulence was found in the regime of counter-rotation or when the inner cylinder was at rest. The purpose of this work is to examine the transient energy growth of perturbations based on the linear nonmodal analysis of the azimuthal Couette flow under those circumstances. The author does not claim that this mechanism, on its own, is responsible for the even-
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tual transition to turbulence; nonlinear effects are also crucial for that transition.

The article is structured as follows. In Sec. II, we formulate the stability problem and we define the quantities which measure the transient growth of the perturbations. In Sec. III, we provide a comprehensive exploration of the optimal transient growth in the counter-rotation regime for different azimuthal and axial modes, and we compare our numerical results with the experimental data available, with former theoretical works based on energy methods, and with a former nonmodal linear growth analysis. Finally, in Sec. IV, we examine the presence of streaks as a result of axisymmetric toroidal perturbations and how this mechanism modulates the basic azimuthal flow.

II. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION: LINEAR STABILITY AND ENERGY NORM

We consider an incompressible fluid of kinematic viscosity \( \nu \) and density \( \rho \) which is contained between two concentric rotating cylinders whose inner and outer radii and angular velocities are \( r_i^g \), \( r_o^g \), and \( \Omega_i^g \), \( \Omega_o^g \), respectively. Henceforth, all variables will be rendered dimensionless using \( d = r_i^g = r_o^g \), \( d^2/\nu \), \( v^2/d^2 \) as units for space, time, and the reduced pressure \( (p/\rho) \), respectively. The independent dimensionless parameters appearing in this problem are the ratio radius \( \eta = r_i^g/r_o^g \), which fixes the geometry of the annulus, and the Couette flow Reynolds numbers \( R_i = dr_i/\Omega_i/v \) and \( R_o = dr_o/\Omega_o/v \) of the rotating cylinders. The Navier–Stokes equation and the incompressibility condition for this scaling take the form

\[
\partial_t \mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} = -\nabla p + \Delta \mathbf{v}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0. \tag{1}
\]

Let \( \mathbf{v} = v_r \mathbf{e}_r + v_\theta \mathbf{e}_\theta + v_z \mathbf{e}_z = (v_r, v_\theta, v_z) \) be the velocity vector \( \mathbf{v} \) in cylindrical coordinates \( (r, \theta, z) \). The basic azimuthal Couette flow \( \mathbf{v}^B = (v_r^B, v_\theta^B, v_z^B) \) is obtained by assuming independence with respect to \( t \), \( \theta \), and \( z \):

\[
v_r^B = 0, \quad v_\theta^B = \frac{B}{r}, \quad v_z^B = 0 \quad (r_i \leq r \leq r_o), \tag{2}
\]

where \( A = (R_o - \eta R_i)/(1 + \eta), \quad B = \eta(R_i - \theta R_o)/(1 - \eta)(1 - \eta^2), \quad r_i = \eta/(1 - \eta), \) and \( r_o = 1/(1 - \eta) \).

For our analysis, the basic flow is perturbed by a small disturbance which is assumed to be periodic in the azimuthal and axial coordinates:

\[
\mathbf{v}(r, \theta, z, t) = \mathbf{v}^B + \mathbf{u}(r, \theta, z, t) = v_r^B + u_r(r, \theta, z, t) \mathbf{e}_r + v_\theta^B + u_\theta(r, \theta, z, t) \mathbf{e}_\theta + v_z^B + u_z(r, \theta, z, t) \mathbf{e}_z, \tag{3}
\]

\[
p(r, \theta, z, t) = p^B + q(r, \theta, z, t) = p^B + q_\theta(r, \theta, z, t) \mathbf{e}_\theta + q_z(r, \theta, z, t) \mathbf{e}_z, \tag{4}
\]

where \( n \in \mathbb{Z}, \) \( k \in \mathbb{R}, \) and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \). In addition, the perturbation of the velocity field, \( \mathbf{u} = (u_r, u_\theta, u_z) \), must vanish at the radial boundaries

\[
r(r_i) = u(r_o) = 0, \tag{5}
\]

and satisfy the solenoidal condition

\[
\nabla \cdot [\hat{\rho}^B \mathbf{u}(r)] = 0. \tag{6}
\]

By introducing the perturbed fields (3) and (4) in the Navier–Stokes equations (1) and (2) and neglecting nonlinear terms, we obtain the solenoidal eigenvalue problem for the \((n, k)\) azimuthal-axial mode of the perturbation

\[
\lambda u_r = Dq + \left[ D_{\lambda}D - \frac{n^2 + 1}{r^2} - k^2 - \frac{in}{r} \right] u_r - \frac{2in}{r^2} u_\theta, \tag{7}
\]

\[
\lambda u_\theta = \frac{in}{r} q + \left[ D_{\lambda}D - \frac{n^2 + 1}{r^2} - k^2 - \frac{in}{r} \right] u_\theta + \frac{2in}{r^2} (D_{\lambda}v^B_{\theta}) u_r, \tag{8}
\]

\[
\lambda u_z = ikq + \left[ D_{\lambda}D - \frac{n^2}{r^2} - k^2 - \frac{in}{r} \right] u_z, \tag{9}
\]

\[
D_{\lambda} u_r = -\frac{in}{r} u_\theta - iku_z, \tag{10}
\]

where \( D = dl/dr \) and \( D_{\lambda} = D + 1/r \).

For a fixed \((n, k)\)-mode, we discretize the boundary value problem (5)–(10) by a solenoidal Petrov–Galerkin spectral method whose accuracy was confirmed by the author for the stability analysis of the spiral Couette flow. The discretization scheme leads to an eigenvalue problem for the amplitudes \( \mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_M)^T \) of the spectral representation of the velocity field:

\[
L_{\lambda}(R_i, R_o, \eta, n, k) \mathbf{a} = \lambda \mathbf{a}, \tag{11}
\]

where the \((M + 1) \times (M + 1)\)-matrix \( L \) implicitly depends on the set of parameters of the boundary value problems, \( M \) being the order of the spectral Galerkin approximation. The linear stability problem is then reduced to the computation of the spectrum of \( L \) for each pair of \((n, k)\) azimuthal-axial modes. If, for a fixed set of values \( R_i, R_o, \) and \( \eta \), the \((n, k)\)-spectra always lie on the left-hand side of the complex plane, then the basic flow will be stable with respect to infinitesimal perturbations. On the other hand, if one of the eigenvalues has positive real part, then the basic Couette flow will be linearly unstable.

We focus our attention on the transient evolution of perturbations in the regime of linear instability, following the same methodology used in Ref. 26 for the study of nonnormal transient growth in the Hagen–Poiseuille flow. For a given \((n, k)\) azimuthal-axial mode, consider the linear subspace \( S_N \) spanned by the eigenvectors of the \( N \) rightmost eigenvalues \( \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_N\} \) of the spectrum of \( L \):

\[
S_N = \langle \mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{q}_N \rangle. \tag{12}
\]

Any perturbation \( \mathbf{q} \) can be expressed as a linear combination of the eigenvectors \( \mathbf{q}_n \),

\[
\mathbf{q} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \kappa_n \mathbf{q}_n = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \ldots, \kappa_N)^T, \tag{13}
\]

and its time evolution is dictated by the diagonal system
\[
\frac{d\kappa}{dt} = \Lambda \kappa,
\]
where \(\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \ldots, \kappa_N)^T\) and \(\Lambda = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_N\}\). We define the energy norm of the perturbation \(q\) by means of the inner product
\[
\varepsilon(q) = (q, q)_E = \frac{1}{2} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} q^* \cdot q \, dr,
\]
where * stands for complex conjugation. We consider the matrix of inner products between the eigenvectors
\[
M_{ij} = (\tilde{q}_i, \tilde{q}_j)_E.
\]
This matrix is positive definite and it admits a decomposition of the form \(M = F^\dagger F\), where \(\dagger\) stands for the complex conjugate transpose. This decomposition can be accomplished by means of the standard QR factorization. The energy norm of the perturbation \(q\) in (15) can be expressed in the standard two-norm in \(S_N\) by means of the components \(F\) and \(F^\dagger\):
\[
\varepsilon(q) = \|\kappa\|_2^2 = \|F\kappa\|_2^2.
\]
We are interested in the measurement of the energy growth of an initial condition \(\kappa_0\), as a function of time. Following Ref. 12, we define the energy amplification factor, \(g(t)\), as the ratio between the energy norm of the perturbation at time \(t\) and its initial norm,
\[
g(t) = \frac{\|\kappa(t)\|_E^2}{\|\kappa_0\|_E^2} = \frac{\|e^{\Lambda t}\kappa_0\|_E^2}{\|\kappa_0\|_E^2}.
\]
For a fixed time \(t\), we want to maximize \(g(t)\) in (17) over the set of all possible initial conditions \(\kappa_0\). Maximization of the ratio appearing in (17) leads to the quantity \(G(t)\), the optimal energy amplification factor,
\[
G(t) = \max g(t) = \max \left. \frac{\|e^{\Lambda t}\kappa_0\|_E^2}{\|\kappa_0\|_E^2} \right|_{\kappa_0 \neq 0} = \max \left. \frac{\|e^{\Lambda t}\kappa_0\|_E^2}{\|\kappa_0\|_E^2} \right|_{\kappa_0 \neq 0} = \frac{\|F e^{\Lambda t} F^{-1}\|_2^2}{2}.
\]
The quantity \(\|F e^{\Lambda t} F^{-1}\|_2^2\) is the principal singular value \(\sigma_1\) of the operator \(F e^{\Lambda t} F^{-1}\) and its computation is straightforward via standard methods,
\[
G(t) = \sigma_1^2(F e^{\Lambda t} F^{-1}).
\]
This is equivalent to solving the variational problem of maximizing the factor \(g(t)\) for a prescribed time \(t\) and considering the initial conditions as the degrees of freedom of the problem.\(^{14}\) The optimal growth \(G(t)\) in (19) has been obtained from the linear operator \(\Lambda\) associated with the \((n,k)\) azimuthal-axial mode and for a prescribed positive time \(t\). Therefore, for a fixed set of values \(Ri, Ro, \text{ and } \eta\), the maximum energy amplification factor, \(G_{\text{max}}\), is obtained by maximizing \(G(t)\) in (19) for all the pairs \((n,k)\) \(\in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}\) and for \(t \in \mathbb{R}^+\).

\[
G_{\text{max}}(Ri, Ro, \eta) = \sup_{(n,k,t)} G(t).
\]

### III. PARAMETRIC STUDY OF \(G_{\text{max}}\)

In this section we describe the global features of the growth factor \(G_{\text{max}}\) defined in Eq. (20). The exploration is carried out for the particular case \(\eta = 0.881\) and for inner and outer Reynolds numbers in the domain \((Ri, Ro) \in [0.900, 500] \times [-4000,500]\), following the specifications of the experimental data available.\(^6\) Our attention is mainly focused in the counter-rotating regime, where the flow exhibited subcritical transitions in the laboratory. Nevertheless, for completeness we enhanced our exploration to a small region in the co-rotating regime. We take advantage of the \(O(2)\)-symmetry of the problem, i.e., invariance of the system (5)–(10) under axial translations and axial reflections of the form \(\{z \rightarrow -z, w \rightarrow -w\}\), with respect to orthogonal planes to the common axis of the cylinders. The system also has \(SO(2)\)-symmetry, i.e., invariance with respect to azimuthal rotations around the center axis.\(^{4}\) Therefore, we have restricted our computations to the case when both \(n\) and \(k\) are positive or zero. In this particular study, we have maximized the factor \(G\) in (19) for positive times, for azimuthal modes in the range \(0 \leq n \leq 15\), and for axial wave numbers in the range \(0 \leq k \leq 10\).

In order to validate our numerical results, we have carried out an analysis of the transient growth for axisymmetric disturbances with a fixed axial periodicity. This has been done in order to compare our numerics with the results formerly provided.\(^{21}\) In the study carried out by Hristova et al., the distances were nondimensionalized by the length scale \(d/2\) and the angular speed ratio was fixed at \(\Omega = \Omega_c / \Omega = -1\). For this particular case, their Reynolds number \(Re\) and our inner and outer Reynolds numbers \(Ri\) and \(Ro\) are related by

\[
Ri = 2Re, \quad Ro = -\frac{2}{\eta} Re.
\]

By the same rule, their axial wave number \(\beta\) is related to ours by a factor of 2, i.e., \(k = 2\beta\). In Fig. 1(a), we have represented the transient growth factor for \(Ri = 240\), \(Ro = -272.42, n = 0, \text{ and } k = \pi\), corresponding to the values \(Re = 120\) and \(\beta = \pi/2\) in Hristova et al. The maximum transient growth in this case is \(G_{\text{max}} \approx 16.62\), being in very good agreement with Fig. 2 of Hristova et al.\(^{21}\) Nevertheless, the circular Couette flow is linearly unstable in that case for non-axisymmetric perturbations, as seen in Fig. 1(b) for \(n = 1\). In Fig. 1(b), we observe a very similar transient growth which attains a slightly higher maximum value of \(G_{\text{max}} = 16.66\), although the basic flow eventually exhibits an exponential instability. This justifies a wider study of the transient growth for non-axisymmetric perturbations.

The results of our exploration are summarized in Fig. 2. The shaded zone represents the region of the \((Ro,Ri)\)-plane where the circular Couette flow is linearly unstable with respect to axisymmetric or non-axisymmetric perturbations, i.e., \(G_{\text{max}} \to \infty\). This region has a lower boundary which is the critical curve where the first linear instability appears. This critical curve has been computed by solving the eigenvalue problem (11) and imposing the condition that the real part of the rightmost eigenvalue of \(L\) be zero. Below the
critical boundary prescribed by the modal analysis, the figure shows contours of the function $G_{\text{max}}(R_o,R_i)$. Different features can be pointed out. First, at the bottom right of Fig. 2 we have represented the rigid rotation curve, $R_i=\eta R_o$, by a dashed line representing the region where both cylinders rotate with the same angular speeds, $V_i=V_o$. We can observe that, close to that region, the Couette flow does not exhibit transient growth. This is clearly visualized in Fig. 2 by a narrow stripe containing the rigid rotation curve within which $G_{\text{max}}=1$. This result is in agreement with previous analyses based on energy methods which concluded that near the rigid rotation region, circular Couette flow is absolutely, monotonically, and globally stable.\(^\text{27}\) Second, in the counterrotation region, we observe a monotonic growth of $G_{\text{max}}$, which ranges between 1 and 100. This would imply that the energy of any small perturbation would be transiently amplified by almost two orders of magnitude in the counterrotation region explored in this case. Third, the contours of $G_{\text{max}}$ are not tangent to the shaded region over the linear instability boundary. In fact, the intersection is transversal, implying that nonmodal transient growth may still be found slightly above the linear critical values, as reflected in Fig. 1(b). Finally, Fig. 2 includes the experimental data provided by Coles.\(^\text{6}\) The lines with white triangles represent the experimental boundaries of transition to spiral turbulence reported by Coles above which subcritical transition was found. The two boundaries correspond to two independent experiments carried out with different fluids. In this study, Coles could not provide an explanation for the discrepancy between the two experimental boundaries. Nevertheless, the upper experimental boundary from Fig. 2 is clearly aligned with the contour curves of $G_{\text{max}}$, revealing a correlation between the transition phenomena and the energy amplification factor. We have carried out the computation of $G_{\text{max}}$ at the four points of the upper experimental branch of Fig. 2. The optimal values have been included in Table I. A remarkable fact is that the experimental transition takes place within the range

$$G_{\text{max}}=71.58 \pm 0.16,$$

with a 0.2% of relative deviation. This suggests that, although our analysis is only linear, the nonmodal transient growth plays a very important role in the subcritical transition. However, this mechanism is not sufficient for the eventual development of spiral turbulence.

![FIG. 2. Maximum transient growth factor $G_{\text{max}}$ in the $(R_o,R_i)$-plane. The dashed line represents the rigid body rotation curve $R_i=\eta R_o$. The lines with white triangles represent the experimental boundaries of transition to turbulence provided by Coles (Ref. 6).](image)

![FIG. 1. Comparison with simultaneous work (Ref. 21): (a) Transient growth factor $G(t)$ for $\eta=0.881$, $R_i=240$, $R_o=-271.42$, $n=0$, and $k=\pi$, following Hristova et al. for Re=120 and $\beta=\pi/2$. (b) Same computation for $n=1$.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ri</th>
<th>Ro</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$k$</th>
<th>$G_{\text{max}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>591</td>
<td>-2588</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.994</td>
<td>71.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>523</td>
<td>-2975</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.996</td>
<td>71.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>473</td>
<td>-3213</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.920</td>
<td>71.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405</td>
<td>-3510</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.839</td>
<td>71.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I. Parameters for optimal transient growth at the experimental transition points reported by Coles in the upper branch of Fig. 2.
IV. GROWTH MECHANISM AND AZIMUTHAL STREAKS

In this section we study how the non-normal growth mechanism affects the basic azimuthal Couette flow. It has long been known that shear flows such as plane Couette or pipe Poiseuille flow exhibit transition to secondary transient flows usually termed streaks. These flows are particularly easy to trigger when perturbing the basic field by means of streamwise vortices, i.e., vortical structures which are approximately uniform along the direction of the basic flow. Initially, the streamwise vortices only perturb the spanwise and normal components of the flow. The lift-up effect is eventually responsible for the formation of the streaks by transferring the spanwise-normal contribution of the energy to the streamwise direction. Streaks are regions of the fluid where the modulated flow attains high and low relative speeds. The modulated flow results in a profile which is, in a transient sense, linearly unstable with respect to three-dimensional perturbations. This last instability is usually termed streak breakdown and is one possible route of transition to turbulence in shear flows.

In the Taylor–Couette narrow gap geometry, where the curvature is considerably reduced, the azimuthal coordinate plays the role of the streamwise direction and axisymmetric toroidal vector fields are suitable candidates to be streamwise vortices. Two factors are essential in order to study the time evolution of the perturbations and the modulation of the Couette flow. The first is the energy of the initial perturbation with respect to the energy of the basic flow, $E_B$. This quantity is given by the expression

$$E_B = \frac{1}{2} \int_{r_i}^{r_o} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dr$$

$$= \frac{A^2}{8} (r_o^4 - r_i^4) - \frac{B^2}{2} \ln \left( \frac{r_o^2 + r_i^2}{2} \right).$$

The second is the time scale during which the transient streaks achieve their maximum amplitude. In our nondimensionalization, the time scale was given by the viscous time, $t = d^2/\nu$. We are interested in the characteristic time that a perturbation needs to reach its maximum amplitude and how this time is related to the driving dynamics of the cylinders. In counter-rotation situations, a suitable advective time scale is given by the outer cylinder rotation period, $\tau_o$.

$$\tau_o = \frac{2\pi}{\text{Ro}(\eta - 1)}, \quad \text{Ro} < 0. \quad (23)$$

In Fig. 3, we have plotted $G(t)$ for $\text{Ro} = -4000$, $\text{Ri} = 0$, and $k = 1$. The plot provides the optimal growth for different azimuthal modes ranging from $n = 0$ to $n = 11$. We observe that the axisymmetric mode does not exhibit a substantial growth, in comparison with other spiral non-axisymmetric (oblique) modes. Another important feature from Fig. 3 is that the maximum transient growth is always reached before the outer cylinder has completed half a cycle.

Following Hristova et al., we have studied the effect of streamwise (axisymmetric) perturbations and its implications for the formation of streaks. Figure 4 shows the $\theta = \text{const}$ section of the time evolution of an axisymmetric perturbation for $\text{Ro} = -4000$, $\text{Ri} = 0$, and $t = 0$, $\tau_o/10$, $\tau_o/5$, $2 \tau_o$. For this computation, we have considered the action of the exponential time mapping of the linearized Taylor–Couette operator from Eqs. (7)–(9). The initial condition at $t = 0$ was a vector field with $n = 0$, $k = \pi/2$, zero azimuthal component and initial energy 1.5% of $E_B$ [Fig. 4(a)]. For $t = \tau_o/10$ [Fig. 4(b)], we observe a decay of the radial-axial components of the perturbation. For $t = \tau_o/5$ [Fig. 4(c)], a pair of small counter-rotating vortices is formed, close to the inner and outer cylinders. For $t = 2 \tau_o$ [Fig. 4(d)], the energy of the radial-axial components has been transferred to the azimuthal direction of the flow by the lift-up mechanism. This phenomenon tran-

---

FIG. 3. Transient growth for different azimuthal modes for $\text{Ro} = -4000$, $\text{Ri} = 0$, and $k = 1$.

FIG. 4. Radial-axial components of the perturbation field for $\text{Ri} = 0$ and $\text{Ro} = -4000$ at different times: (a) $t = 0$, (b) $t = \tau_o/10$, (c) $t = \tau_o/5$, and (d) $t = 2 \tau_o$. 
siently affects the azimuthal Couette flow. This can be clearly visualized in Fig. 5, where we have plotted the contours of the modulated azimuthal Couette flow, $v_R^p + u_{th}(t)$, for the same computation. For $t = \tau_0/5$ [Fig. 5(c)], we observe the generation of azimuthal streaks near the inner and outer cylinders. After two rotations of the outer cylinder, the Couette azimuthal flow has almost recovered its initial structure, as predicted by the modal analysis [Fig. 5(d)].

V. CONCLUSIONS

Transient linear effects in various flows have been studied in recent years, but there has not been much attention of this kind to Taylor–Couette flows. Here we have provided comprehensive exploration of the optimal transient growth in the counter-rotating Taylor–Couette problem. Significant energy transient growth has been found in the linearly stable regime of counter-rotation. The numerical computations of the maximum amplification factor are consistent with the experimental threshold values obtained by Coles. Non-axisymmetric modes seem to be more effective in the transient mechanism and axisymmetric azimuthal streaks may still be observed as well, although they exhibit a weaker amplification. Direct numerical simulation of the problem would be required in order to understand how these linear effects combine with nonlinear ones to bring about transition to turbulence.
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