Impact of centrifugal buoyancy on strato-rotational instability

Juan M. Lopez^{1,†} and Francisco Marques²

¹School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287, USA ²Departament de Física Aplicada, Univ. Politècnica de Catalunya, Barcelona 08034, Spain

(Received 18 September 2019; revised 18 November 2019; accepted 11 February 2020)

In a recent experiment on the flow between two concentric cylinders with the inner cylinder rotating and the fluid being stably stratified, Flór et al. (Phys. Fluids, vol. 30, 2018, 084103) found helical wave structures confined to the inner cylinder in an annulus with small inner-to-outer radius ratio (very large gap) in regimes where the Froude number (ratio of cylinder rotation frequency to buoyancy frequency) is less than one. These helical waves were reported to originate at the corners where the inner cylinder meets the top and bottom boundaries, and were found to be asymmetric with the lower helical wave being more intense. These observations are in marked contrast with other stratified Taylor-Couette experiments that employed much larger inner-to-outer radius ratios and much larger annulus height-to-gap ratios. Here, we present direct numerical simulations of the Navier-Stokes equations, with a Boussinesq approximation that accounts for centrifugal buoyancy effects which are normally neglected. Fixing the stratification and increasing the rotation rate of the inner cylinder (quantified by a Reynolds number), we find a sequence of bifurcations, each one introducing a new frequency, from the steady base state to a three-torus state. The instabilities are generated at the corners where the inner cylinder meets the endwalls, and the first instability is localized at the lower corner as a consequence of centrifugal buoyancy effects. We have also conducted simulations without centrifugal buoyancy and find that centrifugal buoyancy plays a crucial role in breaking the up-down reflection symmetry of the problem, capturing the most salient features of the experimental observations.

Key words: Taylor–Couette flow, stratified flows

1. Introduction

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. IP address: 147.83.201.74, on 11 Mar 2020 at 15:41:14, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2020.135

The instability of the flow between two differentially rotating cylinders with the fluid being stably stratified is an idealized setting which may be of relevance to processes in the atmosphere and oceans (Hart 1979; Plougonven & Zhang 2014) and accretion disks (Avila 2012). For the most part, stratified Taylor–Couette flow has been considered in regimes where the annular gap between the two cylinders is relatively small, and theoretical treatments usually assume periodicity in the axial



FIGURE 1. (a) Dye visualization of two helical waves travelling along the rotating inner cylinder from the top and bottom, reproduced from Flór *et al.* (2018). The flow conditions correspond to salt-stratified Taylor–Couette flow in the very wide gap regime with radius ratio $\eta = R_i/R_o = 1/15$, Froude number Fr = 0.53 and inner cylinder Reynolds number $Re_i = 521$. The dimensions of their annulus are inner radius $R_i = 2.5$ cm, outer radius $R_o = 37.5$ cm and working depth H = 40 cm. (b) Schematic of the fluid domain, including the initial temperature stratification $T_{in}(z)$.

direction. Molemaker, McWilliams & Yavneh (2001) and Yavneh, McWilliams & Molemaker (2001) showed that, even when the hydrodynamics is centrifugally stable, stable stratification can lead to instability due to a resonant interaction between non-axisymmetric inertia–gravity waves trapped near each of the two cylinders. This instability has come to be known as the strato-rotational instability (SRI), and it was first observed experimentally by Le Bars & Le Gal (2007).

Our present investigation is motivated by the recent experiments of Flór et al. (2018) who found spiral structures confined to the inner rotating cylinder in a regime where the ratio of the inner to outer cylinder radii is quite small, $R_i/R_o = 1/15$; see figure 1(a) for a visualization of the flow from their experiments. This is a very different regime to that typically studied for SRI. The spiral structures they observed are reminiscent of the radiative instability (RI) reported by Riedinger, Le Dizès & Meunier (2011), who considered a rotating cylinder in a large rectangular tank of stratified fluid. Using a large axial wavenumber approximation, Le Dizès & Riedinger (2010) showed how RI and SRI are related, with SRI being transformed into RI as the gap between the two cylinders becomes large. As well as conducting experiments, Riedinger et al. (2011) considered the linear stability analysis of the potential flow around an isolated rotating cylinder of infinite axial length in a linearly stratified medium (i.e. the velocity of their base flow had azimuthal component $v \propto 1/r$, zero meridional components u = w = 0 and extended radially out to $r \to \infty$). They mostly considered inviscid flow, and presented some comments on viscous effects. The main mode of instability was found to result in helical waves with azimuthal wavenumbers that depend on the Froude number $Fr = \Omega/N$ and a Reynolds number $Re_i = \Omega R_i^2/\nu$, where N is the Brunt–Väisälä buoyancy frequency, Ω the rotation rate of the cylinder of radius R_i and ν is the kinematic viscosity. Their experiments were conducted in a salt-stratified tank of horizontal cross-section 240 cm \times 74 cm and depth 48 cm, which was filled to a height of 45 cm. Their experiments used three different cylinders of radii $R_i = 12.5$, 15 and 20 cm. They typically observed instabilities consisting of helical waves travelling up (down) from the bottom (top). They expected the flow to have up-down reflection symmetry, but noted: 'nevertheless, in a few cases, we observe that one of the two waves can be dominant', and 'there is a difference between the frequencies of the two waves ... slow drift toward the top'. They also noted that the stratification N was not uniform across the depth, with departures in the top and bottom 10 cm of the depth, which they ascribed to salt diffusivity, turbulent mixing and evaporation effects. They did not consider centrifugal buoyancy effects as a potential contributor.

For the most part, centrifugal buoyancy effects in stratified Taylor–Couette flows have been ignored. Barcilon & Pedlosky (1967) suggested that centrifugal buoyancy effects may not be negligible, and Shalybkov & Rüdiger (2005) raised the cautionary point that the use of the usual Boussinesq approximation (which does not account for centrifugal buoyancy) for small Froude numbers seems to still be an open question. Rüdiger *et al.* (2017) considered the centrifugal buoyancy for parameter regimes corresponding to their experiments, and concluded that since $R_i\Omega^2/g$ is small (where *g* is gravitational acceleration), that they could neglect centrifugal buoyancy effects. They also studied the linear stability of stratified Taylor–Couette flow assuming a unidirectional base flow and periodicity in the axial direction, and found discrepancies between model and experimental results which they suggested may stem from the model ignoring endwall effects, but exploring these was 'beyond the scope' of their study.

So far, the theoretical studies of stratified Taylor–Couette flow (e.g. Hua, Le Gentil & Orlandi 1997; Shalybkov & Rüdiger 2005; Gellert & Rüdiger 2009; Park & Billant 2013; Leclereq, Nguyen & Kerswell 2016; Rüdiger *et al.* 2017; Park *et al.* 2018) have considered the axial direction to be periodic and the base state to be the unidirectional flow of Taylor (1923),

$$v = Ar + B/r, \tag{1.1}$$

where v is the azimuthal component of velocity and the constants A and B depend on the radii and rotation rates of the inner and outer cylinders, together with a linear vertical stratification. All physical experiments have finite axial length, and differential rotation between the bottom endwall and one or both cylinders (the top is sometimes open). This leads to vortex line bending near one or both corners where the rotating inner cylinder meets the stationary bottom and top endwalls, driving a secondary meridional flow. As such, the base flow is not unidirectional. This meridional flow will be shown here to be critical in determining the helical instability reported in the experiments of Flór *et al.* (2018).

The outline of the paper is as follows. In § 2, the governing equations are described for the fully enclosed finite annulus with rotating inner cylinder, as is the numerical technique used to solve them. Section 2.1 describes the symmetries of the system, and how neglecting or accounting for centrifugal buoyancy affects them. Details of the basic state are given in § 3. These are contrasted with the idealized potential flow and circular Couette states. The influence of centrifugal buoyancy on the basic state is also described. Section 4 explores the primary instabilities when centrifugal buoyancy is ignored, showing that the extra symmetry that comes from ignoring centrifugal buoyancy results in peculiar dynamics. These peculiarities are removed in § 5 by accounting for centrifugal buoyancy, whose main impact is found to be that the helical wave instability occurs at the bottom corner (where the rotating cylinder 890 A9-4

meets the stationary bottom endwall) at a Reynolds number Re that is lower than the critical Re when centrifugal buoyancy is ignored. For slightly larger Re, the top corner becomes unstable to another helical wave mode, and the two helical waves have slightly different frequencies leading to modulation dynamics. They have much in common with what is observed experimentally, and comparisons with those observations are presented in § 6.

2. Governing equations

Consider a completely fluid-filled annulus of height H, inner radius R_i and outer radius R_o . The outer cylinder, top and bottom walls are stationary and the inner cylinder rotates at constant angular velocity Ω . The top and the bottom walls are maintained at fixed temperatures, $T_0^* + \Delta T^*/2$ for the top endwall and $T_0^* - \Delta T^*/2$ for the bottom endwall, while both cylinders are insulated. T_0^* is a reference temperature, and the temperature difference between the top and bottom ΔT^* is positive, so that the vertical temperature gradient is stabilizing. Gravity g points downwards. The kinematic viscosity of the Newtonian fluid is ν , its thermal diffusivity is κ , and its coefficient of volume expansion is α . A schematic of the set-up is shown in figure 1(b).

Using the annular gap $D = R_o - R_i$ as the length scale, the viscous diffusion time across the gap D^2/ν as the time scale, ΔT^* as the temperature scale and employing the Boussinesq approximation accounting for centrifugal buoyancy (Lopez, Marques & Avila 2013), the non-dimensional governing equations are

$$(\partial_t + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla})\boldsymbol{u} = -\boldsymbol{\nabla}p + \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2\boldsymbol{u} + Gr\,T\hat{\boldsymbol{z}} + \epsilon T(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla})\boldsymbol{u},\tag{2.1}$$

$$(\partial_t + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla})T = \sigma^{-1} \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 T, \quad \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = 0,$$
(2.2)

where $\boldsymbol{u} = (\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w})$ is the non-dimensional velocity field in the cylindrical polar coordinate system (r, θ, z) , p is the dynamic pressure and \hat{z} is the unit vector in the vertical direction z. The term $\epsilon T(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla)\boldsymbol{u}$ accounts for centrifugal buoyancy effects. The fluid domain is $r \in [r_i, r_o] = [\eta/(1 - \eta), 1/(1 - \eta)], \theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $z \in [-\gamma/2, \gamma/2]$, where $\eta = R_i/R_o$ is the radius ratio and $\gamma = H/D$ is the aspect ratio. We shall fix the annular geometry to $\eta = 0.07$ and $\gamma = 1$, very close to those of the experimental apparatus used in Flór *et al.* (2018).

The boundary conditions for temperature and velocity are

$$r = r_i: \quad \partial T/\partial r = 0, \quad u = w = 0, \quad v = Re, \tag{2.3a}$$

$$r = r_o: \quad \partial T / \partial r = 0, \quad u = w = v = 0, \tag{2.3b}$$

$$z = -\gamma/2$$
: $T = -1/2$, $u = w = 0$, $v = Re q(r)$, (2.3c)

$$z = v/2$$
 : $T = 1/2$ $u = w = 0$ $v = Re a(r)$ (2.3d)

where the azimuthal velocity at the corners where the rotating cylinder meets the stationary top and bottom endwalls has been regularized by using

$$q(r) = \exp[-c(r - r_i)], \text{ with } c = 100.$$
 (2.4)

The radial function q(r) is almost zero everywhere except in a narrow interval (controlled by c) close to the rotating inner cylinder. In this way the boundary condition on v is continuous, avoiding Gibbs phenomena associated with discontinuities in the numerical simulations, and mimics the gap that exists in any real device with the inner cylinder rotating and stationary endwalls. The value of c is chosen such that q decreases from 1 to 0.05 over 3% of the annular gap.

The non-dimensional groups appearing in the governing equations and boundary conditions are

Prandtl number
$$\sigma = \nu/\kappa$$
, (2.5*a*)

Reynolds number
$$Re = \Omega R_i D/\nu$$
, (2.5b)

Grashof number
$$Gr = \alpha g \Delta T^* D^3 / \nu^2$$
, (2.5c)

relative density variation
$$\epsilon = \alpha \Delta T^*$$
, (2.5d)

radius ratio
$$\eta = R_i/R_o$$
, (2.5*e*)

aspect ratio
$$\gamma = H/D$$
, (2.5f)

where $D = R_o - R_i$. The Prandtl number is a ratio of fluid properties and is constant in a given experiment. The Grashof number *Gr* and the relative density variation ϵ are proportional to the imposed temperature gradient, and their ratio is the Archimedes number

$$Ar = Gr/\epsilon = gD^3/\nu^2. \tag{2.6}$$

Since Ar is constant in any real experiment, $\epsilon = Gr/Ar$ is enslaved to Gr, and so there are only two independent dynamical parameters in the problem, Re and Gr. Other non-dimensional numbers used in this and related studies are the ratio of buoyancy and rotation time scales, known as the Froude number $Fr = \Omega/N$, where $N = \sqrt{\alpha g \Delta T^*/H}$ is the Brunt–Väisälä frequency, and $R_N = ND^2/\nu$, the non-dimensional buoyancy frequency, which is the ratio of the viscous and buoyancy time scales. These are related to Re and Gr

$$Fr = \frac{Re}{R_N} \frac{D}{R_i} = \frac{Re}{R_N} \frac{(1-\eta)}{\eta}, \quad R_N = \sqrt{\frac{Gr}{\gamma}}.$$
(2.7)

The experiments of Flór *et al.* (2018) used water with salt as the stratifying agent. Instead, we shall use temperature and fix the Prandtl number $\sigma = 6$, nominally corresponding to water at approximately 25 °C. Flór *et al.* (2018) used $Re_i = Re \eta/(1 - \eta)$ and Fr to describe the parameter regimes of their experiments. With the non-dimensionalization we have used, Re and Gr are the non-dimensional groups that naturally appear. Note that neglecting centrifugal buoyancy corresponds to taking the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ in (2.1). The Archimedes number corresponding to the experiments of Flór *et al.* (2018) is large, $Ar = 6.272 \times 10^{11}$, and so ϵ is small (less than 0.1), even for the largest Gr used in the experiments. The helical instability that they reported, for example in their figure 3 (reproduced here in figure 1*a*), had Fr = 0.53 and $Re_i = 521$, corresponding to $Gr \approx 4.24 \times 10^{10}$ and Re = 7294. In the simulations we report on here, we fix $Gr = 4 \times 10^{10}$ and $\epsilon = 0.064$, and consider variations in Re. Those results are also compared to simulations ignoring centrifugal buoyancy, obtained by setting $\epsilon = 0$.

The governing equations are solved using a second-order time-splitting method with consistent boundary conditions for the pressure, as in Lopez & Marques (2014). Spatial discretization is via a Galerkin–Fourier expansion in θ and Chebyshev collocation in r and z. The spatial and temporal resolution used was $n_r \times n_z \times n_\theta = 150 \times 300 \times 26$ and $\delta t = 4 \times 10^{-7}$ for Re < 6300 and $\delta t = 2 \times 10^{-7}$ for $Re \ge 6300$. All numerical simulations have been performed with a linear temperature stratification $T_{in} = z/\gamma$ as the initial condition for temperature, or from the continuation of solutions obtained with this initial condition, in order to mimic the experimental set-up.

Other variables used in the present problem are the vorticity, $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \nabla \times \boldsymbol{u} = (\omega_r, \omega_\theta, \omega_z)$, and the helicity density $He = \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}$. The kinetic energies of the azimuthal Fourier modes of the velocity field,

$$E_m = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\gamma/2}^{\gamma/2} \int_{r_i}^{r_o} u_m \cdot u_m^* r \, \mathrm{d}r \, \mathrm{d}z, \qquad (2.8)$$

where u_m is the *m*th Fourier mode of the velocity field and u_m^* is its complex conjugate, provide a convenient way to characterize the non-axisymmetric states.

2.1. Symmetries

The domain and boundary conditions have a symmetry group generated by arbitrary rotations \mathcal{R}_{β} around the annulus axis, and a reflection \mathcal{K} about the mid-height plane. Their actions on the velocity and temperature are

$$\mathcal{R}_{\beta}: [u, v, w, T](r, \theta, z, t) \mapsto [u, v, w, T](r, \theta - \beta, z, t),$$
(2.9a)

$$\mathcal{K}: [u, v, w, T](r, \theta, z, t) \mapsto [u, v, -w, -T](r, \theta, -z, t),$$

$$(2.9b)$$

where β is an arbitrary angle. The rotations \mathcal{R}_{β} generate the group SO(2), and the reflection \mathcal{K} generates the group Z_2 since \mathcal{K}^2 is the identity; \mathcal{R}_{β} and \mathcal{K} commute $(\mathcal{K}\mathcal{R}_{\beta} = \mathcal{R}_{\beta}\mathcal{K})$, and together they generate the group $\mathcal{G} = SO(2) \times Z_2$.

The temperature and incompressibility equations (2.2) are equivariant with respect to \mathcal{G} . However, in the Navier–Stokes equations (2.1), the last term is not equivariant; it changes sign when reflected (applying \mathcal{K}). This centrifugal buoyancy term renders the full system to not be reflection symmetric. The denser fluid at the bottom endwall is centrifuged outwards, while the lighter fluid near the top endwall is centrifuged inwards, generating a large scale circulation that breaks \mathcal{K} . In summary, if $\epsilon = 0$ then \mathcal{G} is the symmetry group of the problem, but when $\epsilon \neq 0$ the symmetry group is only SO(2).

3. Basic states

890 A9-6

In the limit of the annulus height-to-gap aspect ratio $\gamma \to \infty$, and ignoring centrifugal buoyancy (setting $\epsilon = 0$), the basic state is described by the unidirectional circular Couette flow (1.1). For a stationary outer cylinder this unidirectional basic state has azimuthal velocity

$$v(r) = Re \frac{\eta}{1 - \eta^2} \left(\frac{r_o}{r} - \frac{r}{r_o} \right).$$
(3.1)

The $-r/r_o$ contribution is due to the presence of the stationary outer cylinder. If the outer cylinder were not there, i.e. taking the limit $r_o \rightarrow \infty$, the basic state would be a potential flow with $v(r) = r_i Re/r$. The $-r/r_o$ contribution is largest at the outer cylinder, and only contributes an $\eta = r_i/r_o$ fraction of the maximum potential velocity. This amounted to less than 7% in the experiments of Flór *et al.* (2018), for which $\eta = 1/15$. Such considerations led Riedinger *et al.* (2011) to consider the linear stability of the potential flow driven by a rotating cylinder in a radially unbounded vertically stratified fluid. However, the experiments of both Riedinger *et al.* (2011) and Flór *et al.* (2018) had finite depth with a stationary floor, so that the azimuthal velocity,



FIGURE 2. Radial profiles of the azimuthal velocity at z = 0 (mid-height) for the finitecylinder flow at $\eta = 0.07$, $\gamma = 1$, $\sigma = 6$, $Gr = 4 \times 10^{10}$, $\epsilon = 0.064$ and $Re \in [1, 6000]$ (profiles scaled with *Re* all collapse onto the one curve), the circular Couette flow profile (3.1) and the potential flow profile $v(r)/Re = r_i/r$.

being zero at the floor, had vertical gradients driving a secondary meridional flow. As such, the experimental basic state is not unidirectional.

Figure 2 shows the numerically computed profiles (solid blue curve) of v(r)/Reat the cylinder mid-height (z = 0) of the steady axisymmetric basic state for twelve values of the Reynolds number in the range $Re \in [1, 6000]$, all with $\eta = 0.07$, $\gamma = 1$, $\sigma = 6$, $Gr = 4 \times 10^{10}$ and $\epsilon = 0.064$. The differences in the profiles for different *Re* only modify the velocity by one part in 10^5 , and so the profiles collapse onto the same (blue) curve. Also included in the figure for comparison are the profiles corresponding to the idealized infinite-cylinder circular Couette profile (3.1) and the potential vortex profile $v(r)/Re = r_i/r$. The circular Couette flow and the potential flow have no meridional flow, i.e. $u = w = \omega_r = \omega_{\theta} = 0$, while v and ω_z are linear in Re; $\omega_z =$ $-2\eta Re/(1+\eta)$ is constant for the circular Couette flow, and $\omega_z = 0$ for the potential flow. The numerical simulations of the finite-cylinder problem agree qualitatively with the experimental results reported in figure 6(b) of Riedinger *et al.* (2011), even though they had a rectangular container instead of a circular outer cylinder. In summary, for all basic states (potential flow, circular Couette and finite length cylinder), the primary flow (azimuthal velocity and axial vorticity) scales linearly with Reynolds number: $v \propto Re$ and $\omega_{\tau} \propto Re$.

The primary flow consists of the azimuthal velocity v (the only non-zero component in the potential flow and circular Couette flow) and the axial component of vorticity ω_z (the only non-zero component in circular Couette flow). With a finite cylinder, a secondary meridional flow is driven by vortex line bending due to the nonlinear advection term and the no-slip velocity boundary conditions at the top and bottom endwalls. As a result, u, w, ω_r and ω_{θ} are non-zero. Figure 3 shows how the primary and meridional components of the finite-cylinder flow scale with Re, where we have used the global maxima of ω_z and ω_{θ} to characterize the primary and meridional components, respectively. For very small Re, ω_{θ} is orders of magnitude smaller than ω_z , but ω_z only grows linearly with Re whereas ω_{θ} grows quadratically with Re. By $Re \approx 6000$, the meridional flow is approximately 17% of the primary flow, and as will be shown in the following sections, this is the level which leads to instability of the basic state. Note that for small Re, the velocity and vorticity tend to become orthogonal; they are exactly orthogonal for the circular Couette flow. Also, the



FIGURE 3. Variation of the maximum in the axial and azimuthal vorticity components with *Re*, for $\eta = 0.07$, $\gamma = 1$, $\sigma = 6$, $Gr = 4 \times 10^{10}$ and $\epsilon = 0.064$.



FIGURE 4. Vortex lines rv, streamlines ψ and the three components of vorticity ω_r , ω_{θ} and ω_z for the basic state at Re as indicated. Eight contours are shown, equispaced between the minimum and maximum values of the variables. Parameter values: $\eta = 0.07$, $\gamma = 1$, $\sigma = 6$, $Gr = 4 \times 10^{10}$ and $\epsilon = 0.064$.

helicity density increases with increasing *Re*. Ignoring centrifugal buoyancy ($\epsilon = 0$), the helicity (volume integral of the helicity density) vanishes due to the \mathcal{K} reflection invariance of the flow, but with $\epsilon \neq 0$ the helicity does not vanish.

Figure 4 shows contours of angular momentum rv, streamfunction ψ (where $[\partial^2/\partial z^2 + \partial^2/\partial r^2 - 1/r \partial/\partial r]\psi = -r\omega_{\theta}$), and the three components of vorticity at Re = 100 and Re = 6000. The differences in the spatial structures at the two Re values are minimal, (except for the scalings in Re, linear for the primary flow components and quadratic for the meridional components), and localized at the inner wall and endwalls. The vorticity is concentrated in a thin boundary layer at the inner cylinder wall. The thickness of this boundary layer is independent of Re, but its intensity scales linearly with Re (dominated by the contribution from ω_z). The large-scale circulation induced by the streamfunction contours in figure 4. This is due to the strong stable vertical temperature gradient inhibiting the vertical motion of the fluid outside of boundary layers. There is also a weak breaking of the reflection symmetry



FIGURE 5. (a) Vertical profiles of the radial velocity near the rotating inner cylinder at $r = r_i + 0.011$, for the basic state with centrifugal buoyancy ($\epsilon = 0.064$, blue) and without ($\epsilon = 0$, red), and (*b*,*c*) close ups of the profiles near the top and bottom endwalls. Parameter values: $\eta = 0.07$, $\gamma = 1$, $\sigma = 6$, $Gr = 4 \times 10^{10}$ and Re = 6000.

 \mathcal{K} due to the centrifugal buoyancy ($\epsilon = 0.064$). In order to quantify the intensity of the secondary flow and the symmetry breaking, we have plotted in figure 5 vertical profiles of the radial velocity near the inner cylinder (at $r = r_i + 0.011$, where u(r) is maximal), for the basic states with and without the centrifugal term, at Re = 6000. The secondary flow is confined near the top and bottom endwalls, in agreement with the ψ contours shown in figure 4, and the maximum of u is approximately 0.9% of the maximum of the primary flow (which is $v_{max} = Re$). The solution without centrifugal buoyancy ($\epsilon = 0$, blue curve), is \mathcal{K} reflection symmetric, while the solution including centrifugal buoyancy ($\epsilon = 0.064$, red curve), is not. The secondary circulation is more intense near the bottom when $\epsilon \neq 0$, due to centrifugal buoyancy, with the maximum value of u in the bottom boundary layer approximately 10% larger than the maximum value of u in the top boundary layer. This is a measure of the symmetry breaking due to the centrifugal effect on the secondary flow, for the basic state at Re = 6000.

4. Helical rotating wave instabilities ignoring centrifugal buoyancy

The basic state, either with or without centrifugal buoyancy effects being considered, loses stability as *Re* is increased beyond approximately 6000 for the radius ratio $\eta = 0.07$, aspect ratio $\gamma = 1$, Prandtl number $\sigma = 6$ and Grashof number $Gr = 4 \times 10^{10}$ considered in this study. Although the basic states with ($\epsilon = 0.064$) and without ($\epsilon = 0$) buoyancy effects only differ slightly, as described in the previous section, the differences in their instabilities are significant. We begin by describing the instabilities ignoring buoyancy effects in this section, and then in the next section we explore the consequences of including them.

Neglecting buoyancy effects ($\epsilon = 0$) endows the system with the additional symmetry \mathcal{K} , the invariance to reflection about the annular mid-height. As described in § 2.1, the $\epsilon = 0$ system and its basic state have $SO(2) \times Z_2$ symmetry. Bifurcations from the basic state may either preserve or break parts or all of the symmetries. As in the experiments of Riedinger *et al.* (2011) and Flór *et al.* (2018), the basic

J. M. Lopez and F. Marques

state becomes unstable via a Hopf bifurcation that breaks the SO(2) axisymmetry. Generically, SO(2) symmetry is broken via a Hopf bifurcation and the resulting limit cycle is a rotating wave with azimuthal wavenumber m (Marques & Lopez 2006). Also in agreement with the experiments, we find that m = 1. The limit cycle consists of two helical waves, one directed downward and the other upward, which combine to form a standing helical wave, with the property of being a rotating wave (RW)

$$\mathcal{R}_{-\beta} \mathbf{RW}(t) = \mathbf{RW}(t + \tau \beta / 2\pi), \qquad (4.1)$$

where τ is the period of the rotating wave. Note that for an m = 1 rotating wave, advancing half a period is equivalent to a π rotation: $\mathcal{R}_{\pi} RW(t) = RW(t + \tau/2)$. The reflection symmetry \mathcal{K} may either be preserved or broken at the Hopf bifurcation. If it is preserved, the rotating wave is pointwise invariant and we shall denote it $RW_{\mathcal{K}}$. It has the property that applying \mathcal{K} at any point in time leaves it invariant:

$$\mathcal{K}\mathrm{RW}_{\mathcal{K}}(t) = \mathrm{RW}_{\mathcal{K}}(t). \tag{4.2}$$

If the \mathcal{K} reflection is broken at the Hopf bifurcation, the rotating wave is setwise invariant and we shall denote it RW_c . At any instant in time, reflecting RW_c results in RW_c half a period later

$$\mathcal{K}\mathrm{RW}_{\mathcal{C}}(t) = \mathrm{RW}_{\mathcal{C}}(t + \tau/2). \tag{4.3}$$

However, as noted above, for an m = 1 rotating wave, advancing half a period is the same as applying a rotation of angle π , \mathcal{R}_{π} . Therefore RW_C is also pointwise invariant, but with respect to the centrosymmetry C, whose action is

$$\mathcal{C}: [u, v, w, T](r, \theta, z, t) \mapsto [u, v, -w, -T](r, \theta - \pi, -z, t), \tag{4.4}$$

so that

$$\mathcal{C}\mathrm{RW}_{\mathcal{C}}(t) = \mathcal{R}_{\pi}\mathcal{K}\mathrm{RW}_{\mathcal{C}}(t) = \mathcal{R}_{\pi}\mathrm{RW}_{\mathcal{C}}(t+\tau/2) = \mathrm{RW}_{\mathcal{C}}(t).$$
(4.5)

The centrosymmetry C consists of a rotation of π around the cylinder axis composed with the \mathcal{K} reflection: $C = \mathcal{R}_{\pi}\mathcal{K}$. It can also be thought of as a reflection through the origin, and since C^2 is the identity, like \mathcal{K} it also generates a Z_2 group.

As with $RW_{\mathcal{K}}$, $RW_{\mathcal{C}}$ also consists of two helical waves, one directed down and the other up, which combine to form a standing helical wave, with the property of being a rotating wave; $RW_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $RW_{\mathcal{C}}$ look quite similar, but a clear distinction is that $RW_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $RW_{\mathcal{C}}$ have opposite parities in z. Figure 6 shows an example of each, using isocontours of the helicity density. Supplementary movie 1 (available at https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2020.135) animates them, illustrating their rotating wave spatio-temporal structure.

Figure 7(a) is a bifurcation diagram showing the variation of the modal energy E_1 with Re. At $Re \approx 6090$, the kinetic energy in azimuthal wavenumber m = 1 begins to grow linearly with increasing Re, indicative of a supercritical Hopf bifurcation that has broken axisymmetry and spawns a rotating wave. Figure 7(b) shows how the frequency of the bifurcating rotating wave varies with Re. Note that we present the frequency as ω/Re so that it is in the inertial time scale (ω is the frequency in the viscous time scale used to compute the flow). In the inertial time scale, the frequency $\omega/Re \approx 1.8$ with very little variation with Re. The two possible types of bifurcating rotating waves, $RW_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $RW_{\mathcal{C}}$, are stable in different Re ranges, and change from one to the other. This is likely due to the fact that these rotating waves are the result of

890 A9-10



FIGURE 6. Isosurfaces of helicity density at levels $He = \pm 10^6$ for rotating helical wave states (a) RW_C at Re = 6150 and (b) RW_K at Re = 6250, both with $\epsilon = 0$ (no centrifugal buoyancy), $Gr = 4 \times 10^{10}$, $\sigma = 6$, $\eta = 0.07$ and $\gamma = 1$. The grey disks drawn at the top and bottom endwalls have radii $r_i + 0.2$, i.e. they extend out to 20% of the radial gap. Supplementary movie 1 animates both.



FIGURE 7. Variation with Re of (a) the modal energy E_1 and (b) angular frequency (scaled by Re) of the rotating waves RW_C and RW_K for $\eta = 0.07$, $\gamma = 1$, $\sigma = 6$, $Gr = 4 \times 10^{10}$ and $\epsilon = 0$.



FIGURE 8. Variation with *Re* of (*a*) the modal energy E_1 and (*b*) frequencies (scaled by *Re*) of rotating wave RW and modulated rotating waves MRW and MRW₂ (time-averaged E_1 is shown for MRW and MRW₂), for $\eta = 0.07$, $\gamma = 1$, $\sigma = 6$, $Gr = 4 \times 10^{10}$ and $\epsilon = 0.064$.

the interaction of two helical waves originating at the corners where the rotating inner cylinder meets the top and bottom endwalls, and grow axially inward with increasing *Re* and interact at the cylinder mid-height (z = 0), where the helical waves have the smallest intensity. Therefore the resulting rotating waves and their stability are very sensitive to small changes, and in particular to the variation with *Re*. The energy E_1 and the frequency ω/Re change continuously with *Re*, regardless of the solution type. In the parameter regime considered, RW_C bifurcates first, and after a relative change in *Re* of approximately 1.7%, RW_K becomes stable, suggesting that the system is close to a double-Hopf bifurcation where the two states with different symmetries, RW_C and RW_K, bifurcate simultaneously. Nevertheless, these symmetry-related peculiarities are a direct consequence of ignoring the centrifugal buoyancy.

5. Centrifugal buoyancy effects on the instabilities

When the centrifugal buoyancy is included in the governing equations (2.1), i.e. taking $\epsilon \neq 0$, the system is no longer reflection symmetric, and the symmetry group reduces to SO(2). Figure 8 shows the variation of E_1 and the frequencies of the bifurcated states with increasing Re when centrifugal buoyancy effects ($\epsilon = 0.064$) are taken into account. The basic state undergoes a supercritical Hopf bifurcation to a rotating wave RW with m = 1 and frequency ω_1 . This happens at $Re \approx 5970$, which is smaller (approximately 2%) than the critical Re when $\epsilon = 0$. The bifurcated state, shown in figure 9(a) at Re = 6100, clearly has no symmetry in z. The centrifugal buoyancy term drives the denser (colder) fluid near the bottom endwall radially outward, enhancing the centrifugal instability mechanisms near the bottom of the cylinder, while the lighter (hotter) fluid near the top endwall is centrifuged inward, reducing the centrifugal instability. As seen in figure 9(a), the helical wave is

890 A9-12



FIGURE 9. Isosurfaces of helicity density for (a) RW at Re = 6100 and (b) MRW at Re = 6500, both with $\epsilon = 0.064$ (accounting for centrifugal buoyancy), $Gr = 4 \times 10^{10}$, $\sigma = 6$, $\eta = 0.07$ and $\gamma = 1$. The isosurface levels are (a) $He = \pm 10^6$ and (b) $He = \pm 3 \times 10^6$. Supplementary movie 2 animates RW in the inertial frame, and movie 3 animates MRW both in the inertial frame and in a frame rotating at angular frequency ω_1 .

generated at the bottom of the cylinder and progresses upwards, while there is no centrifugal instability at the top endwall. Supplementary movie 2 animates the RW shown in figure 9(a).

Increasing the Reynolds number to $Re \approx 6220$, a helical wave appears at the top of the cylinder. Both helical waves meet near the cylinder mid-plane, but a little closer to the top endwall. In contrast to the two symmetric helical waves of $RW_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $RW_{\mathcal{C}}$ described earlier with $\epsilon = 0$, these two waves are different. The one on the lower half of the cylinder is more intense, and their frequencies are slightly different. They both rotate prograde with the inner cylinder, but the bottom helical wave rotates slightly faster than the top helical wave. This results in a modulated rotating wave state MRW. The difference in the two frequencies shows up as a small beat frequency, which is an order of magnitude smaller than either of the two frequencies. We shall call this beat frequency ω_2 . Figure 10(a) shows the power spectral density (PSD) of the time series obtained from the axial velocity at a point $(r, \theta, z) = (r_i + 0.05, 0, -0.25)$ of the rotating wave RW at Re = 6100; it consists of ω_1 and its harmonics. Figure 10(b) is the corresponding PSD of MRW at Re = 6500. It consists of ω_1 and its harmonics together with ω_2 and its harmonics. The two frequencies are very close to being commensurate, with $\omega_1/\omega_2 \approx 15$; the 'split' peaks near $0.5\omega_1$ and $1.5\omega_1$ result from their incommensurability. A snapshot of MRW at Re = 6500 is shown in figure 9(b). This state closely resembles the experimental flow obtained by Flór et al. (2018), shown in figure 1(a). Supplementary movie 3 animates MRW, both in the inertial (laboratory) frame and in a frame that rotates with angular frequency ω_1 . In this rotating frame, the helical wave from the bottom is frozen and the helical wave from



FIGURE 10. Power spectral density (PSD) of the axial velocity at a point close to the rotating inner cylinder, $w(r = r_i + 0.05, \theta = 0, z = -0.25)$, for RW, MRW and MRW₂ at $\eta = 0.07$, $\gamma = 1$, $\sigma = 6$, $Gr = 4 \times 10^{10}$, $\epsilon = 0.064$ and *Re* as indicated.



FIGURE 11. Snapshots of MRW at Re = 6500 in the rotating frame that rotates with angular frequency ω_1 . The time between each snapshot is 9.2×10^{-4} in viscous time units, corresponding to 5.98 inertial time units, so that each set of eight snapshots corresponds to approximately one period of the ω_2 oscillation $(2\pi/\omega_2 \approx 50.27)$. Supplementary movie 3 animates MRW, both in the inertial (laboratory) frame and in the rotating frame.



FIGURE 12. Snapshots of MRW₂ at Re = 6510, in the rotating frame that rotates with angular frequency ω_1 . The time between each snapshot is 1.584×10^{-4} in viscous time units, corresponding to 1.03 inertial time units, so that each set of six snapshots corresponds to approximately one period of the ω_3 oscillation ($2\pi/\omega_3 \approx 6.16$). Supplementary movie 4 animates MRW₂, both in the inertial (laboratory) frame and in the rotating frame.

the top rotates in the retrograde direction at the slow frequency ω_2 ; it is a relative rotating wave. There is a torsion between the two behaviours near the mid-height, and this results in a 'snapping' between the two helices. A sequence of snapshots of this MRW in the rotating frame at times covering two periods of the ω_2 oscillation further illustrate this behaviour (see figure 11).

By further increasing the Reynolds number, a more complicated state appears, labelled MRW₂ in figure 8. The PSD of MRW₂ at Re = 6510 is presented in figure 10(c). A new frequency ω_3 emerges, which is close to $0.5\omega_1$, suggestive of a period doubling, but in fact the relationship $2\omega_3 - \omega_1 \approx \omega_2$ is apparent. The detuning in this relationship shows up as a very low frequency beating, with $2\omega_3 - \omega_1 - \omega_2 \sim 10^{-2}Re$. Supplementary movie 4 animates MRW₂ in both the laboratory frame and the rotating frame associated with ω_1 . As with MRW, in the rotating frame the upper part of MRW₂ has a slow nearly constant rotation, associated with the beat frequency ω_2 . The lower part is essentially not rotating, like the lower part of MRW, but it has a large-scale 'axisymmetric' pulsing whose frequency is ω_3 . Figure 12 shows 12 snapshots of MRW₂ has three incommensurate frequencies, but in the rotating frame it is simply quasi-periodic, a relative two-torus.

6. Discussion and conclusions

The helical instability mode experimentally observed by Flór *et al.* (2018) when the Froude number Fr < 1 in a stratified Taylor–Couette flow with a very wide gap

annulus of unit aspect ratio is very different to the instabilities reported in previous experimental investigations of stratified Taylor-Couette flow (e.g. Boubnoy, Gledzer & Hopfinger 1995; Boubnov et al. 1996; Caton, Janiaud & Hopfinger 2000; Le Bars & Le Gal 2007; Ibanez, Swinney & Rodenborn 2016; Rüdiger et al. 2017; Seelig, Harlander & Gellert 2018; Park et al. 2018). Those other experiments were mostly conducted in the narrow gap regime, with radius ratios in the range $\eta \approx 0.8-0.9$, with a few considering η as small as 0.3. These are all considerably larger than the radius ratio of Flór *et al.* (2018), $\eta \approx 0.07$. One consequence of η being relatively large is that the instability modes fill the entire annular gap. In contrast, the instability in the experiments of Flór et al. (2018) is a helical wave that is very localized to the rotating inner cylinder. The previous stratified Taylor-Couette flow experiments were all conducted using annuli with large height-to-gap aspect ratios, typically with γ between 10 and 50. They claim to be motivated to consider large γ in order to minimize the effects of endwalls and to try to accommodate the theoretical idealization of a base flow that is unidirectional with instability modes that are axially periodic. The experiment of Flór *et al.* (2018) had $\gamma \approx 1$. Endwall effects were recognized by them, and they considered the instability to originate at the corners where the rotating cylinder meets the top and bottom. Nevertheless, they concluded their paper with the comment: 'the dynamics of these waves and the underlying mechanism of the instability remain to be explained'. This is precisely what we have set out to do in this study.

In our simulations, we used parameters that are very close to those of the experiments of Flór *et al.* (2018), except that we use temperature as the stratifying agent rather than salt. Temperature diffuses approximately 100 times faster than salt, but these molecular processes seem to not be important for the helical instability. That the Prandtl number does not play a major role in the helical wave instability is borne out by the good agreement between our numerical simulations with $\sigma = 6$ and the experiments with Schmidt number of order 10^3 , further reinforcing our conclusion that the instability is hydrodynamic rather than buoyancy in nature: the vortex line bending at the corners drives a meridional flow which at a critical *Re* becomes unstable to helical waves. Buoyancy of course is relevant; the strong stratification impacts the flow, causing the meridional flow to be localized in thin boundary layers, and then the centrifugal buoyancy results in the flow near the lower corner to lose stability at a lower *Re* than that at the top corner.

For $\eta = 0.07$, $\gamma = 1$, $\sigma = 6$, $Gr = 4 \times 10^{10}$ and $\epsilon = 0.064$, we find the critical $Re \approx 6 \times 10^{10}$ 10^3 . These parameters are very close to those where Flór *et al.* (2018) experimentally observed the helical instability, which is reproduced in figure 1(a). The helical waves in both their experiment and in our simulations grow from the bottom corner where the rotating cylinder meets the stationary endwall. The azimuthal wavenumber is m =1 and the axial wavenumber is 14. The axial wavenumber is estimated as in the experiments, by counting the average number of waves over the depth of the annulus. The experimental result reproduced in figure 1(a) is for $Re_i = Re \eta/(1-\eta) \approx 521$ and $Fr = \Omega / N = (Re/R_N) (1 - \eta) / \eta \approx 0.53$. Our critical Re corresponds to $Re_i \approx 451$ and $Fr \approx 0.4$. The theoretical stability analysis of the potential flow by Riedinger *et al.* (2011) would suggest that in this parameter regime ($Re_i \approx 451$ and $Fr \approx 0.4$), the potential flow is stable, whereas their experimental results, using a slender rotating cylinder in a large rectangular tank with stably stratified fluid, report an m=1 helical instability for $Re_i \approx 450$ and $Fr \approx 0.6$. Given the geometric differences between their experiment in a rectangular container and the annular geometry used in Flór et al. (2018) and in the present study, it is quite possible that the instabilities are related.

The frequency of the helical waves at onset is $\omega_1/Re \approx 1.8$ in the inertial time scale, but in the buoyancy time scale it is $\omega_1/R_N \approx 0.054$, which is very small. The linear dispersion relation gives that internal waves would propagate in the linearly stratified medium at an angle inclined to the horizontal of only $\beta = \arcsin 0.54 \approx 3^\circ$. We have not observed any significant propagation of internal waves in our simulations. If they are present, they are very weak compared with other flow features and do not play any significant dynamic role in the observed bifurcations.

In the experiments of Flór et al. (2018), since they have the top open, there is no \mathcal{K} reflection symmetry. However, even if the geometry were perfect with the annulus fully enclosed and filled, there is still no $\mathcal K$ symmetry due to centrifugal buoyancy effects. The parameter ϵ quantifying centrifugal buoyancy is small (approximately 6% compared to one). We have used $\epsilon = 0.064$ in the simulations; this value was estimated to correspond to the experimental case of Flór et al. (2018), reproduced here in figure 1(a). This small number is, however, not small compared to zero, and its effects are dynamically important not just near onset, but beyond as well, eliminating much of the peculiar degeneracies associated with \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{C} -conjugate states. Similar rationalization of degenerate dynamics resulting from neglecting centrifugal buoyancy has been reported in rotating convection studies (Marques et al. 2007; Rubio, Lopez & Marques 2010; Curbelo et al. 2014). In the present problem, centrifugal buoyancy is the explanation for the experimentally observed flow asymmetry. Moreover, accounting for endwall effects is also found to be critical. The instabilities are generated at the corners where the inner cylinder meets the endwalls, rather than uniformly along the inner cylinder. Irrespective of how large the aspect ratio γ is made, the endwall effects appear before other instabilities, and propagate toward the cylinder mid-height. As in many other physical realizations of Taylor–Couette flows, even for large γ , endwall effects cannot be neglected and they generate large-scale dynamics (Benjamin 1978a,b; Benjamin & Mullin 1981; Ahlers & Cannell 1983; Lorenzen, Pfister & Mullin 1983; Cliffe, Kobine & Mullin 1992; Lopez, Marques & Shen 2000; Czarny et al. 2002; Abshagen et al. 2005a,b, 2008; Avila et al. 2008; Lopez 2016).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science/FEDER grants FIS2017-85794-P and PRX18/00179.

Declaration of interests

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Supplementary movies

Supplementary movies are available at https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2020.135.

REFERENCES

ABSHAGEN, J., LOPEZ, J. M., MARQUES, F. & PFISTER, G. 2005a Mode competition of rotating waves in reflection-symmetric Taylor–Couette flow. J. Fluid Mech. 540, 269–299.

ABSHAGEN, J., LOPEZ, J. M., MARQUES, F. & PFISTER, G. 2005b Symmetry breaking via global bifurcations of modulated rotating waves in hydrodynamics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 94, 074501.

- ABSHAGEN, J., LOPEZ, J. M., MARQUES, F. & PFISTER, G. 2008 Bursting dynamics due to a homoclinic cascade in Taylor-Couette flow. J. Fluid Mech. 613, 357-384.
- AHLERS, G. & CANNELL, D. S. 1983 Vortex-front propagation in rotating Couette–Taylor flow. Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1583–1586.
- AVILA, M. 2012 Stability and angular-momentum transport of fluid flows between corotating cylinders. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 124501.
- AVILA, M., GRIMES, M., LOPEZ, J. M. & MARQUES, F. 2008 Global endwall effects on centrifugally stable flows. *Phys. Fluids* **20**, 104104.
- BARCILON, V. & PEDLOSKY, J. 1967 On the steady motions produced by a stable stratification in a rapidly rotating fluid. J. Fluid Mech. 29, 673–690.
- BENJAMIN, T. B. 1978a Bifurcation phenomena in steady flows of a viscous fluid. I. Theory. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 359, 1–26.
- BENJAMIN, T. B. 1978b Bifurcation phenomena in steady flows of a viscous fluid. II. Experiments. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* A **359**, 27–43.
- BENJAMIN, T. B. & MULLIN, T. 1981 Anomalous modes in the Taylor experiment. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 377, 221–249.
- BOUBNOV, B. M., GLEDZER, E. B. & HOPFINGER, E. J. 1995 Stratified circular Couette flow: instability and flow regimes. J. Fluid Mech. 292, 333–358.
- BOUBNOV, B. M., GLEDZER, E. B., HOPFINGER, E. J. & ORLANDI, P. 1996 Layer formation and transitions in stratified circular Couette flow. *Dyn. Atmos. Oceans* 23, 139–153.
- CATON, F., JANIAUD, B. & HOPFINGER, E. J. 2000 Stability and bifurcations in stratified Taylor– Couette flow. J. Fluid Mech. 419, 93–124.
- CLIFFE, K. A., KOBINE, J. J. & MULLIN, T. 1992 The role of anomalous modes in Taylor-Couette flow. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* A **439**, 341-357.
- CURBELO, J., LOPEZ, J. M., MANCHO, A. M. & MARQUES, F. 2014 Confined rotating convection with large Prandtl number: centrifugal effects on wall modes. *Phys. Rev.* E **89**, 013019.
- CZARNY, O., SERRE, E., BONTOUX, P. & LUEPTOW, R. M. 2002 Interaction between Ekman pumping and the centrifugal instability in Taylor–Couette flow. *Phys. Fluids* 15, 467–477.
- FLÓR, J. B., HIRSCHBERG, L., OOSTENRIJK, B. H. & VAN HEIJST, G. J. F. 2018 Onset of centrifugal instability at a rotating cylinder in a stratified fluid. *Phys. Fluids* **30**, 084103.
- GELLERT, M. & RÜDIGER, G. 2009 Stratorotational instability in Taylor–Couette flow heated from above. J. Fluid Mech. 623, 375–385.
- HART, J. E. 1979 Finite amplitude baroclinic instability. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 11, 147-172.
- HUA, B. L., LE GENTIL, S. & ORLANDI, P. 1997 First transitions in circular Couette flow with axial stratification. *Phys. Fluids* 9, 365–375.
- IBANEZ, R., SWINNEY, H. L. & RODENBORN, B. 2016 Observations of the stratorotational instability in rotating concentric cylinders. *Phys. Rev. Fluids* 1, 053601.
- LE BARS, M. & LE GAL, P. 2007 Experimental analysis of the stratorotational instability in a cylindrical Couette flow. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 064502.
- LE DIZÈS, S. & RIEDINGER, X. 2010 The strato-rotational instability of Taylor–Couette and Keplerian flows. J. Fluid Mech. 660, 147–161.
- LECLEREQ, C., NGUYEN, F. & KERSWELL, R. R. 2016 Connections between centrifugal, stratorotational, and radiative instabilities in viscous Taylor–Couette flow. *Phys. Rev.* E 94, 043103.
- LOPEZ, J. M. 2016 Subcritical instability of finite circular Couette flow with stationary inner cylinder. J. Fluid Mech. 793, 589-611.
- LOPEZ, J. M. & MARQUES, F. 2014 Three-dimensional instabilities in a discretely heated annular flow: onset of spatio-temporal complexity via defect dynamics. *Phys. Fluids* 26, 064102.
- LOPEZ, J. M., MARQUES, F. & AVILA, M. 2013 The Boussinesq approximation in rapidly rotating flows. J. Fluid Mech. 737, 56-77.
- LOPEZ, J. M., MARQUES, F. & SHEN, J. 2000 Endwall effects in a periodically forced centrifugally unstable flow. *Fluid Dyn. Res.* 27, 91–108.
- LORENZEN, A., PFISTER, G. & MULLIN, T. 1983 End effects on the transition to time-dependent motion in the Taylor experiment. *Phys. Fluids* **26**, 10–13.

- MARQUES, F. & LOPEZ, J. M. 2006 Onset of three-dimensional unsteady states in small aspect-ratio Taylor-Couette flow. J. Fluid Mech. 561, 255–277.
- MARQUES, F., MERCADER, I., BATISTE, O. & LOPEZ, J. M. 2007 Centrifugal effects in rotating convection: axisymmetric states and three-dimensional instabilities. *J. Fluid Mech.* 580, 303–318.
- MOLEMAKER, M. J., MCWILLIAMS, J. C. & YAVNEH, I. 2001 Instability and equilibration of centrifugally stable stratified Taylor-Couette flow. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 86, 5270–5273.
- PARK, J. & BILLANT, P. 2013 The stably stratified Taylor-Couette flow is always unstable except for solid-body rotation. J. Fluid Mech. 725, 262–280.
- PARK, J., BILLANT, P., BAIK, J.-J. & SEO, J. M. 2018 Competition between the centrifugal and strato-rotational instabilities in the stratified Taylor–Couette flow. J. Fluid Mech. 840, 5–24.
- PLOUGONVEN, R. & ZHANG, F. 2014 Internal gravity waves from atmospheric jets and fronts. *Rev. Geophys.* 52, 33–76.
- RIEDINGER, X., LE DIZÈS, S. & MEUNIER, P. 2011 Radiative instability of the flow around a rotating cylinder in a stratified fluid. J. Fluid Mech. 672, 130–146.
- RUBIO, A., LOPEZ, J. M. & MARQUES, F. 2010 Onset of Küppers–Lortz-like dynamics in finite rotating thermal convection. J. Fluid Mech. 644, 337–357.
- RÜDIGER, G., SEELIG, T., SCHULTZ, M., GELLERT, M., EGBERS, C. & HARLANDER, U. 2017 The stratorotational instability of Taylor–Couette flows with moderate Reynolds numbers. *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.* 111, 429–447.
- SEELIG, T., HARLANDER, U. & GELLERT, M. 2018 Experimental investigation of stratorotational instability using a thermally stratified system: instability, waves and associated momentum flux. *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.* **112**, 239–264.
- SHALYBKOV, D. & RÜDIGER, G. 2005 Stability of density-stratified viscous Taylor-Couette flows. *Astron. Astrophys.* **438**, 411-417.
- TAYLOR, G. I. 1923 Stability of a viscous liquid contained between two rotating cylinders. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A **223**, 289–343.
- YAVNEH, I., MCWILLIAMS, J. C. & MOLEMAKER, M. J. 2001 Non-axisymmetric instability of centrifugally stable stratified Taylor–Couette flow. J. Fluid Mech. 448, 1–21.